Executive Registry

STANDARD FORM NO. 64 Approved For Release 2003 CA-RDP80R01731R001200050045

Office Memorandum • united states government

TO

Assistant Director, ORE

DATE: 13 June 1949

FROM

Chief, B/FE, ORE

SUBJECT:

The Coordination of ORE 45-49: "Probable Developments in China."

1. The procedure employed in the coordination of ORE 45-49 is set forth below:

20 May, Friday:

The request initiating the project on a high

priority basis was received about 1600.

23 May, Monday:

An initial draft was completed within the

Branch.

24 May, Tuesday:

This initial draft was distributed by hand

to the four IAC agencies for informal

coordination.

26 May, Thursday:

Members of this Branch visited the four agencies and discussed with them the initial

draft. At that time the State Department presented a redraft of one page of the Political Section, and it was agreed to recast that part

of the paper dealing with the question of recognition in such a form that it would be more

acceptable to the State Department.

27 May, Friday:

A draft incorporating the changes recommended by the IAC agencies was completed and distri-

buted to the agencies.

2 June, Thursday:

A meeting was held in CIA attended by representatives of the four agencies. At that time the draft paper was discussed page by page and various revisions were introduced into the

text.

3 June, Friday:

A final draft was completed within the Branch. During the morning four members of the Branch visited the State Department (OIR) and discussed in detail points of redrafting. OIR representatives indicated satisfaction with the final draft.

SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/07/29 - CIA-RDP80R01731R001200050045-9

7 June, Tuesday:

The final draft was distributed to the four IAC agencies by the Staff Intelligence Group with a request for concurrence or dissent by Noon, Friday, 10 June. Copies of this draft were simultaneously distributed directly to working-level members of the four agencies.

10 June, Friday

Full concurrence without comment was received on time from the Intelligence Departments of Army, Navy and Air Forces. No official word was received from OIR, Department of State.

 Captain,	USN	

25X1

SECRET

G/SI LOG ON "HOME STRETCH" OF ORE 45-49

Exacustra Registry

Friday.	<u> 10 June</u>
(Approx.	times)

25X1	1030	Coordinating Staff, OIR, called stating that comments on ORE 45-49 had come in and that they appeared to constitute the basis for a dissent.	
25X1		I inquired if was back from and was told Yes, but that he had just returned and was not yet back in the "harness." I then asked for	25 X 1
25X1		would be out all day.	
	1100	Called Col. Booth, Office of Special Assistant to Sec.State for Research and Intelligence, but unable to reach him.	
25X1	1215	about progress of preparation of comment. She was unable to say whether or not we could expect a concurrence or dissent.	
25X1	1400	Called Col. Booth and asked him to check into matter. He told me he had been away for a few days and wasn't up on this project but would check. He suggested I call	
25X1	1630	office and was told by that Acting Chief, DRF, was on active duty with Navy, but would have to look over comments prior to their being sent up to Col. Booth's office. Presumably this would be done over the week-end.	25X1
25X1	1640	Tried to reach at Navy but unable to.	25X1
	Monday. 13	June	
25X1	0930	Called He stated that over week-end OIR had decided to concur provided we made certain changes in accordance with comment. If not, he wanted us to publish State comment.	
25X1	1100	Called Discussed pros and cons of publishing a comment that was not a dissent. He indicated that State did not want to dissent, but unless we published their comment, State would be forced to.	

Approved For Release 2003/07/29 : CIA-RDP80R01731R001200050045-9

25X1

	Monday, 13	June (cont.)
25X1	1415	I informed him we would go to press without State comment if it were not received by end of day.
25X1	1615	phone, but Col. Booth had to confirm. (Copy attached)
	1640	Col. Booth called and vetoed 's wording. Stated a written statement would be forthcoming the following morning.
	Tuesday, 1/	. June
25X1	1030	State written dissent received. Almost identical with what had given us over the telephone. (Copy attached)